

Primer: there is no transcendental self which isn't imbued with social properties. No pure self, so to speak.

World: Made up of two or more people.

World-Traveling:

Those of us who are "world"-travellers have the distinct experience of being different in different "worlds" and of having the capacity to remember other "worlds" and ourselves in them. We can say "That is me there, and I am happy in that "world." So, the experience is of being a different person in different "worlds" and yet of having memory of oneself as different without quite having the sense of there being any underlying "I." So I can say "that is me there and I am so playful in that "world." I say "That is me in that "world" not because I recognize myself in that person, rather the first person statement is non-inferential. may well recognize that that person has abilities that I do not have and yet the having or not having of the abilities is always an "I have ..." and "I do not have . . . ", i.e. it is always experienced in the first person.

The shift from being

The shift from being one person to being a different person is what I call "travel." This shift may not be willful or even conscious, and one may be completely unaware of being different than one is in a different "world," and may not recognize that one is in a different "world."

One does not experience any underlying "I."

Arrogant vs Loving Perception

I will proceed

to try to explain what I think needs to be consulted. To love my mother was not possible for me while I retained a sense that it was fine for me and others to see her arrogantly. Loving my mother also required

that I see with her eyes, that I go into my mother's world, that I see both of us as we are constructed in her world, that I witness her own sense of herself from within her world. Only through this travelling to her "world" could I identify with her because only then could I cease to ignore her and to be excluded and separate from her. Only then could I see her as a subject even if one subjected and only then could I see at all how meaning could arise fully between us. We are fully dependent on each other for the possibility of being understood and without this understanding we are not intelligible, we do not make sense, we are not solid, visible, integrated; we are lacking. So travelling to each other's "worlds" would enable us to be through loving each other

Agonistic world travelling = conquering. a form of arrogant perception.

Poodle skirt idea...or anything else...like pokemon cards....chipotle